

Application No. 10/758,673

Docket No.: NY-LUD 5483-US7-DIV

Amendment dated December 3, 2007

After Final Office Action of August 9, 2007

**REMARKS**

The Advisory Action gives, as reasons for not entering the amendment, that:

“the proposed cancellation of claim 22 over which a prior art rejection had been made in the final rejection mailed 9/9/07 and the amendments of proposed claim 19 to recite species not yet searched raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.”

How canceling a claim raises new issues befuddles applicants, and clarification is requested.

With respect to the additional peptides, as the Examiner indicated that she did expand the search, but did not indicate how far she had done so how are applicants to know what was and was not searched?

Claim 19 now specifically recites SEQ ID NO: 9. Claim 20 is not necessary as binding of a peptide the size of SEQ ID NO: 9 to a MHC Class I molecule is inherent.

Claims 22 and 23 are canceled. Hence claims 19 and 21, drawn to SEQ ID NO: 9 remain and should be allowed.

Prompt action is requested.

\* \* \*

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to deduct the extension fee (\$120.00) from Deposit Account No. 50-0624.

Application No. 10/758,673

Docket No.: NY-LUD 5483-US7-DIV

Amendment dated December 3, 2007

After Final Office Action of August 9, 2007

Applicant believes no other fee is due with this response. However, if any additional fees are required, please charge our Deposit Account No. 50-0624, under Order No. NY-LUD 5483-US7-DIV (10316191) from which the undersigned is authorized to draw.

Dated: December 3, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

By 

Norman D. Hanson

Registration No.: 30,946

FULBRIGHT &amp; JAWORSKI L.L.P.

666 Fifth Avenue

New York, New York 10103

Attorney for Applicant

(212) 318-3000

(212) 318-3400 (Fax)